

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/606,586	06/26/2003	David William Boerstler	AUS920020703US1	5097	•
45327 75	90 03/23/2006		EXAMINER		-
IBM CORPORATION (CS)			TRAN, THIEN F		
C/O CARR LLI 670 FOUNDER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
900 JACKSON STREET			2811		
DALLAS, TX	75202		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,586	BOERSTLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Thien F. Tran	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> :			
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-21 is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anto have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			•
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.	
	r v v		
		•	
Attachmantic			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/606,586

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, "the substrate" should be --a substrate-- for lack of antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, "the substrate" should be --a substrate-- for lack of antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A persón shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9, 11-15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barker (US 6,144,085).

Barker discloses an integrated circuit (1C) chip (Figs. 1, 3) comprising: a plurality of chip areas on the same chip (10), at least one chip area considered as a simulation area; a plurality of temperature sensors (Mh, Mc), at least one temperature sensor per chip area, each temperature sensor inherently generating an output having a distinct temperature-versus-output conversion characteristic wherein the output is proportional to the measured temperature; and a comparator (40) for comparing the output of any one of the plurality of temperature sensors with the output of any other of the plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,586

Art Unit: 2811

temperature sensors, the comparator further employable to generate a signal if the difference between the outputs of the plurality of temperature sensors exceeds a threshold, wherein the comparator inherently compensates for different temperature-versus-output conversion characteristics between any two of the plurality of temperature sensors.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, the temperature sensor measures a temperature to generate a voltage (voltage sensing mode, col.1, lines 30-40).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the temperature sensor measures a temperature to generate a current (current sensing mode, col.1, lines 30-40).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the temperature sensor (1b) comprises a pn junction (13, 14 in Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, the temperature sensor comprises a thermal resistor (col. 1, lines 55-65).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, the comparator is coupled to the chip (10).

Regarding claim 7, the comparator compares voltages generated from the plurality of temperature sensors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,586

Art Unit: 2811

Claim 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barker (US 6,144,085).

Barker as described above further discloses a gate dielectric layer (24) interposed between a substrate of the Integrated circuit (10) and a computational element (gate 23) of the integrated circuit. However, it is conventional to form the gate dielectric layer (24) of silicon dioxide. Therefore, forming the gate dielectric layer (24) of silicon dioxide would have been obvious modification.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,586 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt March 17, 2006

> Thien F. Tran Primary Examiner